

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

BRANDON JAMES,)	4:13CV3207
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	MEMORANDUM
)	AND ORDER
JEFFRE CHEUVRONT,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

This matter is before the court on its own motion. The above-captioned matter has been provisionally filed on December 10, 2013. (Filing No. [1](#).) However, due to certain technical defects, the Petition cannot be further processed until such defects are corrected. To assure further consideration of the Petition, Petitioner must correct the defect listed below. **FAILURE TO CORRECT THE DEFECT MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF THE PETITION.**

Petitioner has failed to include the \$5.00 filing fee. Petitioner has the choice of either tendering the \$5.00 fee to the Clerk of the Court or submitting a request to proceed in forma pauperis and an affidavit of poverty in support thereof. If Petitioner chooses to do the latter, the enclosed pauper's forms should be completed and returned to this court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner is directed to correct the above-listed technical defect in the Petition on or before January 23, 2014.
2. Failure to comply with this Memorandum and Order will result in dismissal of this matter without further notice.

3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send Petitioner the Form AO 240, Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit.

4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this case with the following text: January 23, 2014: deadline to submit IFP application or pay \$5.00 filing fee.

DATED this 24th day of December, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

Richard G. Kopf

Senior United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.